

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,031	10/25/2000	Yoshio Akiyama	107688	9166
25944	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
P.O. BOX 1	9928 RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
7 LDD/MIND	idi, vii 22520		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	19				
Advisory Action	09/674,031	AKIYAMA ET AL.	'' /				
Advisory Addicti	Examin r	Art Unit	\Box				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		S!	to lotan linear				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See attached sheet</u> .		•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · ———						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•				
	·						

Application/Control Number: 09/674,031

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continuation of #2: Applicant has amended independent claim 1 by adding proposed new limitations "wherein the vertical, curved rib is contiguous with the left and right edges of the label", which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of #5: The application is not placed in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument for claim 1 is drawn to a proposed claim amendment, which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claim. Specifically, the applicant's argument drawn to the limitation "wherein the vertical, curved rib is contiguous with the left and right edges of the label" has not been entered. Therefore, the argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's argument is not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection in Paper #12, mailed on June 23, 2003.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

9/30/03